

WNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,344	02/20/2002	Akira Mashimo	MM4521	9788
:	7590 01/29/2003			
Anderson, Kill & Olick, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1182		·	WELLS, KE	ENNETH B
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Dr.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/081,344	MASHIMO, AKIRA				
Öffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
v	Kenneth B. Wells	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation app ars on the cover she t w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNIC	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi lory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO I, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>20 February 2002</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any object	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		3 (-) (-)				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.					
· · · · ·	ocuments have been received in	Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for 						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	0-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/081,344

Art Unit: 2816

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 2, line 14, the word "on" should be changed to --from--. On page 3, line 31, it appears that "100" should be changed to --110-- in order to be consistent with the drawings. On page 5, lines 24 and 27, "with" should be changed to --while--. On page 13, line 1, it appears that "composes" should be changed to --forms-- (note that this problem occurs throughout the specification). Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 18, "having one of polarities" makes no sense and should be reworded so that the examiner can understand what applicant is trying to recite here.

In claim 2, the last three lines make no sense and should be reworded so that the examiner can understand what applicant is trying to recite here. These problems appear to be caused by the translation from Japanese into English.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

In claim 3 and 6, respectively, it appears that the words, "first" and "second" should be inserted before the word "constant" to make it clear that there are two different constant currents in the invention which perform the charging of the two charge circuits (if this is in fact what applicant means). The same type of amendments should be made on lines 23 and 26, respectively, for the recitation of the sampled and held voltages.

As a minor point, in claim 18, "the" should be changed to --an-- on lines 4 and 8 for proper antecedent basis.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 1, 2, 8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Crofts et al.

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

⁽e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,344 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

Note Fig. 4, where the recited integrating means" reads on the combination of elements 410, 412, 414, 416, 418 and 422; the recited "sample hold circuit" reads on circuit 458; and the recited "outputting means" reads on VCO 456.

4. Claims 1, 2, 8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tamayama.

Note Fig. 6, where the recited integrating means" reads on the combination of circuits 20R, 20G and 20B; the recited "sample hold circuit" reads on the combination of switches 34-36 and capacitor 37; and the recited "outputting means" reads on circuit 38.

5. Claims 3-7 and 9-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Wells whose telephone number is 703-308-4809. The examiner can

Application/Control Number: 10/081,344 Page 5

•Art Unit: 2816

normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan, can be reached at 703-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for TC2800 are 703-872-9318 (before final) and 703-872-9319 (after final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Kenneth B. Wells Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816

January 27, 2003